





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/866,857 05/30/1997		DAVID CORBOY	06651/008001	1383	
20985 75	590 12/06/2001				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	A VILLAGE DRIVE		HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
SAN DIEGO, O	JA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/



n--

Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ALLOHNIN DOUGLT NO
Control of the Contro
EXAMINER
1.
ART UNIT FAFIF S MAPLE
. 24

DELL MEHED

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
a) 😝	is extended to run	or continues to run	3 months	, from the date of the fi	nal rejection			
p) 🗌	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	Any extension of time must be obtained The date on which the response, the pe purposes of determining the period of e 1.17 will be calculated from the date of	tition , and the fee hav xtension and the corre	e been filed is th sponding amoun	e date of the response a rof the fee. Any extens	ind also the date for the ion fee pursuant to 37 CFR			
☐ App	ellant's Brief is due in accordance with 3	37 CFR 1.192(a).						
App to p	licant's response to the final rejection, fil lace the application in condition for allow	led 11/9/01 vance:	_ has been cons	idered with the following	g effect, but it is not deemed			
1. 🔯	The proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification w	rill not be entered	and the final rejection :	stands because:			
	a. There is no convincing showing upresented.	nder 37 CFR 1.116(b)	why the propose	d amendment is necess	ary and was not earlier			
	b. They raise new issues that would	require further conside	ration and/or sea	arch. (See Note).				
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).							
	d. They are not deemed to place the appeal.	e application in better	form for appeal t	y materially reducing or	simplifying the issues for			
	e. They present additional claims w	ithout cancelling a corr	esponding numb	er of finally rejected clai	ms.			
	NOTE: The added limita the new claims and for consider	tions to the SI and Si lenation	indepen 2 nould	dent claims require for	1 and 10, and other search			
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	wou	ld be allowed it s	submitted in a separately	filed amendment cancelling			
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment is will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:								
	Claims allowed:							
	Claims objected to:	50						
	However;							
	Applicant's response has overcom	e the following rejection	n(s):					
4. 🔏	The affidavit, exhibit or request for recommand Substantially by See NoTE about	onsideration has been over the	considered but de	clains and	jection because the request limitations.			
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered.	dered because applicar	nt has not shown	good and sufficent reas	ons why it was not earlier			
☐ The	proposed drawing correction has	has not been app	proved by the exa	ıminer.	Stochall			
Oth					STEPHEN S. HONG			

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)